

Selection Criteria – Group 1

Manage:

1. Closed canopy
2. Small poles
3. Insects/disease
4. Lack of species diversity (trees/herbs-all, wildlife, age)
5. Excessive stand density
 - a. Current vs. Recommended
 - b. Indicator is lack of herb layer
6. Fire hazard
7. Ladder fuels
8. Protect legacy components, not just old trees but also unique vegetation (example: old Manzanita)
9. Slope stability and erosion

Selection Criteria – Group 2

What kind of stands should be treated?

1. Fire susceptibility (short term)
2. Stunted growth
3. Pine/oak → fir (unnatural conversion), loss of historic stands
4. WUI/neighbors (filter)
5. ESA, etc. adjacent area protection
6. Diseased stands
7. Stand health
8. Protect legacy trees
9. Homogeneity (monoculture)

Selection Criteria – Group 3

1. Overstocked forest stands
2. Plantations
3. Surface and ladder fuel condition
4. Pole stands (natural pole stands)

Identify:

1. Mono. typical stands for diversity treatments
2. Potential natural vegetation (Plant association groups)

Manage for forest resiliency (fire resiliency, insects/disease, drought)

Consider wildlife/plant habitat needs

Skips and gaps (consider larger context –landscape-)

Selection Criteria – Group 4

1. Manage Young (~ 0-60 years) Stands to:
 - ↑ Species diversity
 - ↓ Stand density
 - ↑ Age diversity
 - ↑ Spatial diversity
2. Proximity to:
 - Owl core
 - Riparian Reserve
 - Homes
3. ↓ dense, small Douglas fir (all stands)
4. ↓ dense trees around oak and large pine
5. ↓ high risk stands (at-risk to loss by fire, insects, disease)
6. > 30-50 trees/acre of trees > 5" dbh
7. Areas that facilitate Rx burning (maintaining stands with fire)
8. "Driest" (end) of the dry-forest spectrum
9. Most further from the natural state
10. Start from existing roads and fire breaks, strategic placement on breaks and ridges
11. ↑ fire resiliency not "removing" fire from the system
12. Fear of catastrophic fire is NOT the driver

